

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/921,512	08/01/2001	Mattias Luukkonen	PRI-0019 (ORT-1461)	6102
759	10/13/2004		EXAM	NER
Woodcock Washburn LLP			SMITH, CAROLYN L	
46th Floor				
One Liberty Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, PA	A 19103		1631	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/921,512	LUUKKONEN ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carolyn L Smith	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ner: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or b	p)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. S	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from the YWAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHALL. a). The date on which the petition undeperiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3	ellant's Brief must be filed within 7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:	· ·
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see I		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without c	anceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requestion in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has been se: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim	dment(s) a)⊠ will not be entere ms would be rejected is provide	ed or b)⊡ will be entered and an ed below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,10 and 11.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-9 a	<u>nd 12-24</u> .	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended limitations wherein the two mentioned compounds are different raise new issues.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. Because of the presence of new issues, the proposed amendment will not be entered such that all of the rejections from the previous Office Action, mailed 6/25/04, are maintained. If the proposed amendment had been entered, the new title would have been accepted; the 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph NEW MATTER rejection; 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph lack of scope of enablement rejection; and 35 USC 102 rejection would have been removed. Due to the new issues, a further search would be required to determine if another 35 USC 102 or 103 rejection would have been appropriate.

Continuation of 10. Other: The supplemental oath, filed 8/31/04, is accepted by the Examiner..

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL

PRIMARY EXCAMPLER